



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/500,602

02/22/2005

John Hadden

3115.00066

4753

48924

7590

12/23/2008

KOHN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC

30500 NORTHWESTERN HWY, SUITE 410

FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334

EXAMINER

LEWIS, PATRICK T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/23/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/500,602	Applicant(s) HADDEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Patrick T. Lewis	Art Unit 1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Patrick T. Lewis. (3) Ms. Laura Della.

(2) Dr. Kenneth Kohn. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Baumgarth and Masihi (both of record).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Kohn gave an overview of the art of record, discussed claim 24 and possible claim amendments. The examiner and Dr. Kohn discussed the interpretation of claim 24 and the examiner explained his rationale why the references would be combined. Dr. Kohn expressed a willingness to provide a declaration showing unexpected results.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrick T. Lewis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623	
-------------------------------------------------------	--